

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

Public Servants – PRED – Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Assistant Engineer, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District – Irregularities in works executed under Rural & Interior Area Development (RIAD) by P.R. & R.D. Department, Visakhapatnam - Departmental proceedings under Rule 20 of A.P.C.S. (C, C & A) Rules 1991 – Article of charges – Issued.

PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (Vig.II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 852

Dt:06-06- 2011.

<<O>>

O R D E R:

It is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Assistant Engineer, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District, in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules 1991.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or mis-behaviour in respect of which the enquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of articles of charges (Annexure-I). A list of documents by which and a list of witnesses by whom, the articles of charge are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-II & III).

3. Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Assistant Engineer, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District is directed to submit a written statement of his defence before the disciplinary authority within (10) days of the receipt of this G.O.

4. Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Assistant Engineer, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District is informed that an enquiry will be held only in respect of those article of charges as are not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Assistant Engineer, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence on or before the time specified in para-3 above, further action will be processed based on the material available.

6. Attention of Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Assistant Engineer, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District is invited to Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Service (Conduct) Rules, 1964 under which no Government Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interest in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt within these proceedings, it will be presumed that he is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule. 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Service (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

7. The receipt of G.O. shall be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNER OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

DR.RAJIV SHARMA

PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR)

To

Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Assistant Engineer, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam Dist.

through Engineer-in-Chief (Panchayat Raj) Hyderabad.

The Engineer-in-Chief (Panchayat Raj) Hyderabad

(with a request to serve and return the acknowledged copy and W.S.D.).

Copy to:

The General Administration (V&E) Deptt. (311/V&E/E2/09-1)

The Secretary to VC, A.P.V.C.,

Hyderabad. (8800/VC.G1/2010)

SF/SC

//FORWARDED BY :: ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE - I

Statement showing the Article of Charges against Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Asst. Engineer, PR,
PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam Dist.

CHARGE:

That Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Asst. Engineer, PR, PIU, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District has misused his official position for his personal gain as he has failed to verify the works position in his jurisdiction as works are already in progress on the execution of works sanctioned under RIAD in Visakhapatnam District in contravening the APCS Rules, 1964.

BASIS:

The V&E has inspected 9 work sites sanctioned under RIAD in Visakhapatnam Dist. and furnished Report No.122 (311/V&E/E2/10), dt:06-10-10 and observed the following points.

1. Vide G.O.Ms.No.518, dt:28-10-09 of GAD (RIAD) Dept., the Govt., have accorded Administrative sanction for 9 works amounting to Rs.1,451.00 Lakhs from the savings occurred under 12th Finance Commission Grant.
2. An adverse news clipping appeared in Andhra Jyothi daily edition on 05-03-2010 alleging grave irregularities in the entrustment and execution of works under RIAD due to lack of transparency.
3. The Dist. Collector, Visakhapatnam has informed that, the some unauthorised persons are executing the works in the name of Departmental execution causing hot-topic for the local press alleging misappropriation of funds and inviting public criticism. The Dist. Collector recommended to entrust the works by inviting open tenders to ensure proper utilization of funds in transparent manner.
4. Then, the V&E Officials have inspected 9 work sites on 14-06-10 & 15-06-10 on the execution of works sanctioned under RIAD in Visakhapatnam Dist and stated that, the field officers attitude in allowing the unauthorised execution of road works in their jurisdiction is not acceptable, as their primary duty is to bring any acts of irregularity in the field to the notice of higher authorities to streamline the system.

Thus, it clearly proves that the above Asst. Engineer has failed to verify the works position in his jurisdiction in contravention of APCS Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

DR.RAJIV SHARMA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR)

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE II

List of documents for which the articles of charges are proposed to be sustained

--:O:--

Vig & Enforcement Note No. 122 (311/V&E/E2/09-1), dt:25-01-11

DR.RAJIV SHARMA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR)

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE III

List of witnesses by whom the articles of charges are proposed to be sustained.

--:O:--

NIL

DR.RAJIV SHARMA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR)

SECTION OFFICER